

# THE LITTLE BOOK OF **COVID** RED PILLS

---

Help Your Friends And Family  
Escape From The Greatest Mass  
Delusion Of All Time



D.M. NORDMARK

**The little book of**

**COVID RED PILLS**

---

*Help Your Friends And Family Escape From The Greatest Mass Delusion of All Time*

**By**

**D.M. NORDMARK**

# Table of contents

Forward Backward

Chapter 1: Why I Use Hashtags In This Book

Chapter 2: A Pandemic Of Fear, Not Disease

- A.        The Elephant And The Rider
- B.        China's Role
- C.        Chesterton's Fence

Chapter 3: Red Pill #1 - Sweden

Chapter 4 : Red Pill #2 - Average Age

Chapter 5 : Red Pill #3 - Lockdowns

Chapter 6 : Red Pill #4 - Masks

Chapter 7 : Red Pill #5 - Novel Vaccines

Chapter 8 : Moving Elephants - The Tragedy Of Trevor Till

Backward Forward

# Forward Backward

*The truth ultimately prevails where there are plans taken to bring it to light*

- George Washington

I didn't plan it this way, but as it turns out, the first part of this book (what you are currently reading) is the last part I actually wrote. There is a reason for this. My goal in writing *The Little Book Of Covid Red Pills* was to help change the narrative around the West's disastrous response to Covid-19. The current establishment narrative is that there was a deadly novel virus that swept the planet. Nobody was immune, there was no cure and healthy people could spread the disease. In order to survive, we had to follow our wise public health leaders by locking down and masking up while waiting for everyone to be vaccinated. None of this was true. The reality was that there was a virus very similar to a bad flu that posed a danger to the very old and obese. In the words of vaccine profiteer and masking advocate Bill Gates:

*"At that point, we didn't really understand the fatality rate. We didn't understand that it's a fairly low fatality rate, and that it's a disease mainly of the elderly, kind of like the flu, although it's a bit different than that..."<sup>1</sup>*

By succumbing to irrational fear, the entire "Pandemic" response was a catastrophe that accomplished nothing but caused very real harm. It turns out that discarding a

---

<sup>1</sup> Curl, J. (2022, May 9). Bill Gates: COVID 'Disease Of Elderly,' 'Low Fatality Rate' – 'Kind Of Like The Flu'. Daily Wire. <https://www.dailywire.com/news/bill-gates-covid-disease-of-elderly-low-fatality-rate-kind-of-like-the-flu?>

hundred years of solid pandemic planning to follow the anti-science authoritarian policies of China wasn't such a good idea after all.

This little book is all about spreading the truth regarding the West's failed response to Covid to as many people as possible. If you're reading this, I suspect you want to do this as well. The problem is that changing a person's beliefs is as difficult as turning around an elephant. As you will soon learn, facts don't move elephants, but stories do. Below is a three part strategy that I recommend following when trying to red pill someone for the first time.

1. Story - Begin by telling the true story about China's ongoing information war against the West and its goal to transform it into a pale reflection of its fascist self. Explain how at every turn they leveraged and amplified the fear of Covid to accomplish this goal.
2. Fact - Once you explain how China tricked the West into abandoning all of its pre-pandemic planning (not to mention its enlightenment and liberal values) by conjuring into existence the #CovidRegime, give them one of the red pills. The best one is the first one. Sweden followed the true pandemic science and had results that were better than all of Europe. Shouldn't we have done the same thing?
3. Story - Finish up with all too true and tragic story of Trevor Till. Stress the fact that Trevor Till, and countless others like them, didn't need to die. It was all for nothing.

As you read this book the reasons for what I've written above will become clearer. Stories move elephants. By explaining it in this way, a red-pill fact surrounded by two stories, I think you will find it is far more effective. Stories help the red pill go down.

Some other important points before we begin.

# **You have my permission to copy and give away this book to anyone you see fit.**

I'm not quite sure how I'm going to do it yet, but I'm hoping to give this ebook away for free to as many people as possible. I want to attack the #CovidRegime and expose its failings in any way possible. As the #CorporateMedia (being a part of the regime, as we shall soon see) are doing their best to ignore this, it will have to be a guerrilla campaign. So be it. This book is the tip of the spear for the information weapon I hope to create.

## **Sign up for my newsletter at RedPillCovid.com if you haven't already**

As I continue to work on *The BIG Book Of Covid Red Pills*, I will be documenting the failings of the #CovidRegime in any way possible. I'll primarily be doing this by making videos on the various social media platforms you see below. The newsletter will contain links to these videos (#BigTech, especially YouTube, won't promote them), as well as other information as I find it.

## **Follow Me On Social Media**

**On X (formally twitter)**

<https://twitter.com/ExpertsSay2>

**Rumble (If you support free speech, please support Rumble!)**

<https://rumble.com/c/c-2667724>

**YouTube (Until they take my channel down)**

<https://www.youtube.com/@expertssay76/videos>

**Odysee (I'd love to help Odysee grow)**

<https://odysee.com/@AuthorDMNORDMARK:9?>

**Minds (Would love to help Minds grow too)**

<https://www.minds.com/Tocqueville/>

## **Contact Me**

If you wish to contact me in private, I can be reached at the address below. I'm very curious to hear about your experiences in red pilling people and what you have learned. I'm also very interested in hearing more stories that move elephants like Trevor Tills. Stories like his must never be forgotten. Depending on volume, I can't promise to respond to every email, but I do intend to read them all.

ExpertsSay2 "AT" protonmail.com

## **Book Recommendations**

I have used several books in researching this work as well as its future big brother. If you wish to do some reading on your own I can't recommend them highly enough. (Full disclosure: These are amazon affiliate links, so I will get a small amount if you do decide to make a purchase using them. If you want to support my work, I would greatly

appreciate it. Even if you don't, however, and you get these books from the library, I urge you to do so. They are excellent.)

*Snake Oil: How Xi Jinping Shut Down the World by Michael P. Senger*

Senger does an excellent job outlining how Xi Jinping hoodwinked the world. Chinese fascism vs Western liberalism may be the defining struggle of our age. We need to get in the game before we lose anymore of our liberties.

<https://geni.us/expertssnakeoil>

*Illusion of Control: COVID-19 and the Collapse of Expertise by Ian Miller*

Miller does an excellent job of documenting how the “experts” got things wrong at every turn.

<https://geni.us/expertsIllusion>

*Pandemia: How Coronavirus Hysteria Took Over Our Government, Rights, and Lives by Alex Berenson*

Berenson was one of the few mainstream journalists who had the independence and courage to go against the Covid fear campaign from the very beginning. This book is an excellent record of how the world was plunged into madness.

<https://geni.us/expertsPandemia>

*The Righteous Mind: Why Good People Are Divided by Politics and Religion by Jonathan Haidt*

If you want to begin your journey into why human beings are irrational, this is a great



place to start. Man is many things, but he is not rational.

<https://geni.us/expertsMind>

## CHAPTER 1

# Why I Use Hashtags In This Book

The age of Covid, or as I like to call it, #TheGreatStupidity, was one of the most significant, infuriating, dark, tragic, and irrational periods in recent history. In time, I believe we will come to understand that this event shaped the world on a scale similar to World War 1. There are so many different factors that went into it, and the ramifications of what happened are so far reaching as to be utterly overwhelming. This is why I started to use hashtags. They were my attempt to categorize and organize various aspects of the Covid experience. I began to use them on Twitter (now X) and this thought process bled into this book. As I continue to work on its big brother, *The BIG Book of Covid Red Pills*, I've found them to be very useful, particularly as I work on my giant Covid timeline. Although I think most of the hashtags I use in this book are fairly straightforward, here is a list of them with definitions for reference.

#CovidRegime - Refers to the authoritarian regime that arose during the "Pandemic" throughout the Western World. Its major components included #BigGovernment, #BigTech, #BigPharma, and the #CorporateMedia. It was the doppelgänger of his own fascist government that Xi Jinping was able to conjure into existence.

#BigGovernment - Our political rulers. Includes those we elect, but also the much more powerful and unelected administrative state that is behind them.

#BigTech - The gigantic technology companies that exert enormous influence over modern societies. Google, Microsoft, Apple, Amazon, Facebook etc all belong here.

#BigPharma - The drug companies that made a killing during Covid, such as Moderna and Pfizer.

#BranchCovidian - The people who to this day defend the policies of the #CovidRegime and believe that everything it did was justified.

#CCPpropaganda - Examples of the information, ideas and lies spread by the Chinese regime to undermine the West, particularly during Covid.

#CorporateMedia - Legacy, establishment media companies that typically promote the approved narratives of the elites. They rarely speak truth to power, as to do so would weaken their own. Sometimes factual but rarely truthful. Their promotion of #CovidRegimePropaganda cost them what little credibility they had left.

#CovidPanic - The hysteria and panic that the majority of the population felt over the fear of Covid-19.

#CovidRegimePropaganda - Examples of the information, ideas and lies spread by the CovidRegime to keep people frightened and compliant.

#GroupThink - The tendency of people to allow group dynamics to overcome their individual, logical thought. This was on full display during the #CovidPanic.

#RealScience - Examples of the real pandemic science as it existed before the #TheGreatStupidity. This is what we threw out when our regime decided to follow China.

#SecondClassExperts - Sometimes considered to be experts in their field or simply very smart people who opine on subjects on which they have no experience (intellectuals). Typically promote policies for which they are not held accountable for. This often involves making predictions about the future which involves countless variables of which they are ignorant. They exist in the world of ideas and theory. However, their ideas and theory are rarely a match when applied to the complexities of the real world.

#TheGreatStupidity - My name for the "Pandemic". I think it describes what we experienced and what was done far more accurately.

If you wish to follow my journey as I continue to unravel the mysteries of #TheGreatStupidity, feel free to follow me on X (formally twitter) at *Experts Say* below:

<https://twitter.com/ExpertsSay2>

## CHAPTER 2

# A Pandemic Of Fear, Not Disease

Have you been in this situation before? You're sitting with someone you know. It could be a friend you haven't seen in a while, a relative, or a sibling. At some point in the conversation the "Pandemic" comes up and you feel your blood begin to boil. The person you're talking to is still in a trance and accepts ALL of the #CovidRegime lies. They believe:

- That Covid was an exceptional deadly virus that required extraordinary measures
- That our health authorities responded wisely led by "The Science"
- That lockdowns were necessary and wise
- That social distancing made sense
- That masks work (and they wish we were still masking!)
- That the mRNA vaccines were safe and effective
- That vaccine mandates were justified and necessary
- Etc

In short, they believed all of the nonsense. They are, of course, wrong. In time, the rise of the #CovidRegime will be seen for what it was, a dark time when the liberal West was tricked into mimicking the authoritarian East (specifically, the "Communist" Party of China). This was not an accident, it was by design. Unfortunately, as a result, some

very bad people have now gotten some very useful data on just how pliable a supposedly free people can be. This is now in the past and there is nothing that can be done about it. However, this reality should not fill you with despair, but rather great hope. What the #CovidRegime unwittingly did was expose just how rotten the foundations of our liberal democracies were, as well as how willing our various elites were to use their power to further weaken them for their own benefit. Now that the truth of just how incompetent and corrupt our leaders are, we as a people can begin to repair the foundations. The first step in this process is to wake as many people up as possible to the lies of the #CovidRegime.

The “Pandemic” was never about disease. Rather, it was always a pandemic of fear and stupidity. Unfortunately, the person you are talking to, like most, was likely swept up by the #CovidRegimePropaganda. And, as you have likely already learned, fearful people are not rational. Nonetheless, more and more people are becoming conscious to this reality. As Charles Mackay noted in his famous work *Extraordinary Popular Delusions and the Madness of Crowds* over a hundred years ago:

*“Men,...think in herds; ..they go mad in herds, while they only recover their senses slowly, and one by one.”*

- Charles Mackay

Now that people have begun to regain their senses, how do you start the process of opening their eyes to the reality of what was done? This is what this little book of red pills is about. It is a book of the top 5 arguments you can use to start anyone down the path to truth. I sincerely hope it helps you.

Before we get to the red pills, however, we need to do a little bit of prep work. This involves the following:

1. A brief overview of how the human mind REALLY works. If you’re going to turn a car around, it’s important to know how to drive.
2. The motivations of the Chinese “Communist” Party. Their malevolent influence is everywhere, and it’s important to know why.

3. The allegory of Chesterton's Fence. This is the principle that guides almost all of the red pills. If our leaders had understood its wisdom, many of the disasters of the #CovidRegime years could have been avoided.

With that, let's get started with the mysteries of the human mind.

# The Elephant And The Rider

*"Man is many things, but he is not rational."*

- Oscar Wilde

Before you can begin to change anyone's belief, you need to understand how the human mind works. It's a hard concept to accept (although perhaps less so now after living under the #CovidRegime), but human beings are irrational, particularly in groups. In this, we are no different than any other animal. Like every other creature on the face of the Earth, our primary motivation is to reproduce and pass on our genes. Our human ability to use reason and logic is a relatively recent evolutionary development and does not guide our actions as much as we think. There are profound implications to this truth.

To better understand this concept we need to take a page from Jonathan Haidt's book *"The Righteous Mind."* In it, he uses evolutionary psychology to explain why our use of logic and reason to make decisions is an illusion. In reality, we make decisions based on the instincts we have acquired over the millennia, and then we rationalize those decisions backwards into a story that makes sense to us. This is why people, particularly extremely intelligent people, were able to rationalize such nonsensical policies as social distancing and masking during Covid.

*"If you have high IQ, you're really good at finding post-hoc arguments to support your feelings of truthiness."*

- Jonathan Haidt



Although I highly recommend you read Haidt's book on your own, here's a summary of what you need to know. The human mind is like a gigantic instinctive elephant ridden by a small rational rider. They work together to accomplish their primary evolutionary goal, which is procreation. The elephant has been at this game since man's ancestors crawled out of the ocean around 360 million years ago. The rational rider is a much more recent addition to the team, first making his appearance around 2 million years ago (roughly when man first started using tools). The elephant is the senior partner in this relationship and makes all of the important decisions in your life, from whom you marry to the job you take. The rider only rationalizes those decisions the elephant makes as being logical after the fact. The only time the rider acts independently is when no emotion is involved. Your decision to fill up your gas tank is an example of your rider running the show. Elephants don't care about gas tanks.

What happened during the "Pandemic" was that the biggest fear the elephant had was activated, namely the fear of death. A dead elephant cannot procreate, which is why this must be avoided at all costs. Worse, if an entire herd is spooked, as happened during Covid, you get a stampede. It is impossible to use facts and logic to stop an elephant stampede. Again, I quote Charles Mackay because it is so important to understand:

*"Men,...think in herds; ..they go mad in herds, while they only recover their senses slowly, and one by one."*

Rational arguments will not stop an elephant running in fear for its life. If you try, all that will happen is that the elephant will run over you with the rider justifying it. This is the root of all the irrational rationalizations that were made during the reign of the #CovidRegime. For example, did you ever try and convince someone of the insanity of restaurant masking policies? That it was "The Science" to wear a mask outside and inside the restaurant, but you can take it off when you sit down? This is a prime example of the elephant running and the rider rationalizing.

*"If you want to change people's minds, you've got to talk to their elephants."*

- Jonathan Haidt

At this point, enough elephants have stopped running that it is possible reason with the rider. That's what the five red pills are. However, as you explain things to the rider, you must always be aware of the elephant. If the person you are speaking with starts to get emotional or irrational, that is a sign that the elephant is starting to buck. You must avoid this by explaining things calmly. As well, speak to the elephant by making emotional arguments based on the rational red pills. For example, Sweden basically got things right by following the real science. This is an argument intended for the rider. You then want to stress that, as this is the case, all of the harmful consequences caused by #CovidRegime policies did not need to happen. For example, children didn't need to miss school or their childhoods. Playgrounds didn't need to be closed, birthday parties didn't need to be missed. Try to tailor these "Elephant arguments" to the individual you are talking to. For example, a teacher might be moved by the harms caused to low income children for whom school is a sanctuary from an unstable home.

One final bit of advice I have is dissuading yourself from the idea that you are going to convince a #BranchCovidian of the error of their ways in one discussion. It takes time and patience to turn an elephant around. All you are hoping to do is to plant a seed of doubt in the rider's mind, that he can consider calmly. It's not easy taking red pills. All you can do is to offer one of these red pills to a person as calmly as possible. Let them chew on it. You can't force people to take red pills. If things start to get heated this is an indication that the elephant is getting agitated. Agitated elephants will not turn around and walk in your direction. Understand that it will often take an intelligent person two weeks to digest a single red pill before they are ready to take another. Even then they will likely never admit that they were wrong, but they will be far more resistant and skeptical of this kind of propaganda should the #CovidRegime rise again in another form. In a way, you will be acting like an intellectual vaccine. As it was always a pandemic of stupidity and fear, this is the only vaccine we ever needed. *We never had anything to fear, but fear itself.*

# China's Role

*"For to win one hundred victories in one hundred battles is not the acme of skill; to subdue the enemy without fighting is the acme of skill."*

- General Sun Tzu, The Art of War, Spring and Autumn Period

It took many snowflakes of idiocy to generate the avalanche of stupidity that became known as the "Pandemic". From #BigPharma to the corrupt #CorporateMedia to incompetent politicians and bureaucrats, all played a part. But what entity was key? Who or what was the prime mover? The answer to this question is undoubtedly the authoritarian Chinese government. It was China's skill at propaganda that pushed over the first dominoes that set this disaster in motion. If it wasn't obvious before it should be now. The fascist (and with its melding of private corporations and government, that's exactly what it is) dictatorship of China has been waging a propaganda war against the West and its institutions for decades now. I believe that in time, the "Pandemic" will be seen as the high water mark of these efforts, their Pearl Harbour. The information war has been declared, the armies have clashed, and the forces of western liberty were crushed. However, do not lose hope. Covid was an important battle, but it was not the war. To win this struggle we need to understand China's motivations and how they influenced so many of the #CovidRegimes disastrous decisions. To quote Sun Tzu once again:

*"If you know the enemy and you know yourself, you need not fear the result of a hundred battles."*

(Please note that when I refer to China as the enemy, I am always referring to the fascist government of China and not the Chinese people. They suffer under the jackboot of Beijing's authoritarianism as much as anyone.)

In order to understand our enemy, we need to understand some relatively recent historical events and how China reacted to them. These events are the fall of the Berlin Wall and Tiananmen Square. When the Berlin Wall fell it marked the end of most of the authoritarian regimes in Eastern Europe. To the West this was an almost miraculous event worthy of celebration. To those with darker motivations, such as the dictators who rule China, it was a warning. If you let Western Liberal values into your country those ideas can spread like a virus and potentially bring your entire system down. If anyone in China's leadership class had any doubts about this, the events in Tiananmen Square showed otherwise. As the Tiananmen Square protests grew, China's leaders made their decision. Unlike Gorbachev, China sent in the tanks and brutally put down the uprising. Once again secure in power, how would the CCP keep it?

To China's dictators, it was clear that their authoritarian system was in a death struggle against the West. In the end, only one system could prevail. The question was, how do you win such a conflict? A large scale military conflict was out of the question, not least of which as such a conflict may involve nuclear weapons. China's dictator's may be evil, but they are neither stupid nor suicidal. This was not the way. Instead, China chose the only path forward in which it may win, and that was to take a page from the great Chinese military strategist Sun Tzu. To Sun Tzu, *the greatest victory is that which requires no battle*. If you can unbalance your enemy, corrupt them, weaken them, make them more like you, victory is achieved. China's leaders decided they would pursue this goal by using a weapon in which they were unparalleled masters. That tool was information warfare and propaganda.

To this day no one really understands how the CCP came to control China. At the end of World War 2 they controlled a few small villages. By 1949, they had taken over the entire country, save Taiwan. What is certain is that a major tool in their victory was the CCP's mastery of propaganda and subversion. It is these tools that China's fascist dictators have turned on the West. Like a slowly spreading corruption, China's

tentacles have penetrated into every major institution of the West, from our universities to the #CorporateMedia to our politicians. China's dictators are not interested in election cycles, they are playing a much longer game for much higher stakes. The rise of the #CovidRegime in the West, a Frankenstein monster created in their own image, was their masterstroke. China's current dictator Xi Jinping saw weakness in the West and exploited it. This is how Michael P. Senger put it in his excellent book (which I highly recommend you read) *Snake Oil - How Xi Jinping Shut Down the World*. In Senger's prose, Xi:

*"...made a bet the western leadership's classes commitment to virtue, competence and human rights was nothing but conformity passed down to generations that had not earned it. These norms and institutions were little more than platitudes that could easily be subverted as the people who enjoyed them had not earned them."*

Time and again as we look at the "Pandemic" and the rise of the #CovidRegime we will see examples of China using their propaganda skills to manipulate us. As it turns out, Xi knew us better than we knew ourselves. Sun Tzu would have been proud.

# Chesterton's Fence

*"Don't ever take a fence down until you know the reason it was put up."*

- G.K. Chesterton

The final idea I want to share with you before we get to the five arguments is the parable of Chesterton's Fence. If more people understood the wisdom in this story, particularly the West's leadership classes, much of the disaster that the #CovidRegime inflicted could have been avoided. Time and again, we will see that the principles expressed in this tale were ignored. We will be living with the disastrous consequences of their arrogance for generations to come.

G.K. Chesterton was a 19<sup>th</sup> Century English writer and philosopher. His allegory involves people walking along a path who then encounter a fence. It is easy to step over the fence, but why is it there in the first place? The fence appears to be very old and serves no obvious purpose. The hikers decide to do something about it so they later petition the King.

The Monarch listens to their plan, but the King is wise. Before he lets the hikers carry out their plan, he asks them, "Do you know who built the fence and why it was built in the first place?" The hikers admit they do not. The King then replies, "If that is the case I charge you with the task of learning who built the fence and why. Only when you have a thorough understanding of the fence builder's logic and reasoning, and you find it lacking, will I allow you to remove this fence."

What the parable of Chesterton's Fence illustrates is the inherent complexity of civilization and why great care must be taken when instituting reforms. All of us stand on the shoulders of those who came before. We are no smarter than they were. If they instituted a policy or process, they did it for a reason. To think otherwise is to show extreme hubris and, as we all know, hubris brings down the wrath of Gods.


In terms of Covid, policy after policy was instituted without the slightest appreciation for the consequences. Social order is incredibly hard to establish and is not to be taken lightly. All of the cruel and counterproductive policies that the #CovidRegime instituted, from lockdowns to masking to vaccine mandates, ripped apart the social fabric in ways we are only beginning to grapple with. If the unexceptional people we pass off as our leaders had even a basic understanding of Chesterton's Fence, much of the pain we are experiencing today could have been avoided. Unfortunately, unlike the King from this parable, our leadership classes were immensely powerful, but they were never wise.

## CHAPTER 3

# **Red Pill #1 - Sweden**

*By Following The True Pre-Pandemic Science, Sweden Recorded The Lowest Cumulative  
Excess Deaths Of Any Country*





What this graph shows is that Sweden, by following the real pandemic science, experienced the lowest excess deaths of any country during the “Pandemic”. But what does excess deaths mean? Every year, health authorities can estimate how many deaths will occur. Excess deaths are deaths that occur above that expected amount. It is far superior to Covid death figures as it takes the correct comprehensive view of public health. For example, if measures are taken that lower the Covid death rate but increase deaths from despair, excess deaths will capture this whereas Covid deaths will not. Or if an elderly person is classified as a Covid death, even though they suffered from other health issues, this may not show up in the excess deaths as they may have died anyway (this is sometimes referred to as dying WITH Covid as opposed to FROM). In addition to this, Covid deaths were often recorded using different methods in different counties. It is much easier to fudge figures when it comes to Covid Deaths. Not so with excess deaths.

This single graph, utterly ignored for obvious reasons by the #CorporateMedia, proves that all of the pain we went through at the hands of the #CovidRegime was for nothing. What's more, it's a vindication for real science, at least the pre-pandemic science as it existed before 2020. Why did Sweden succeed whereas most of the Western World failed, tearing apart their societies in the process? The reason is that Sweden followed their already established and well thought out pandemic plans. This is opposed to most of the West, who inexplicably ignored theirs to follow the anti-science voodoo cooked up by Xi Jinping's propaganda warlocks. Having a plan and following it served Sweden well.

The first thing that real pandemic science recognizes is the holistic nature of public health. Echoing Chesterton's Fence, it understands that there are so many factors that go into making a healthy society that you interfere with any one of them at your peril. If focusing on one variable (Covid cases) causes you to sideline all others (such as cancer screenings, physical fitness, alcoholism, drug abuse, emotional stress, to name a few) it is not worth doing. Beyond public health variables, things like parties, walks in the park, smiles, sunshine, work, music, laughter, all need to be considered as well. These things make life worth living, and cannot be reduced to variables in a computer model. This is what Dr. D.A. Henderson, the man widely credited with eradicating smallpox, was getting at when he wrote the following:

*"Experience has shown that communities faced with epidemics or other adverse events respond best and with the least anxiety when the normal social functioning of the community is least disrupted."*

This "Chesterton's Fence" wisdom was reflected in every countries pre-pandemic planning prior to 2020. Effective and common sense measures (which would later be reiterated in The Great Barrington Declaration) such as hand washing and isolation of the sick, were included in all of them. What was NOT included were efforts to control a virus through physical means. Cruel and counter-productive NPIs (Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions) such as border closures, school closures, social distancing, masks and lockdowns were not even considered. All of these nefarious ideas

can be traced back to the fascist government in Beijing and its leader, Xi Jinping. As an example, not a single western scientist publicly supported lockdowns until Xi Jinping authorized them on January 23. As Gauden Galea, the World Health Organization's representative in China, put it at the time:

*"...trying to contain a city of 11 million people is new to science...The lockdown of 11 million people is unprecedented in public health history, so it is certainly not a recommendation the WHO has made."*<sup>2</sup>

The Wuhan lockdown went against all established medical science, but, as you can likely guess, it was never about public health to begin with. In hindsight it can be seen for what it was, the first salvo in Xi Jinping's successful propaganda war against the West. By locking Wuhan down (although, it is important to note, *not the rest of the country*) he managed to con most of the world into following his example. Xi injected such a virus of fear into western populations that it gave rise to the various autocratic and incompetent #CovidRegime's the world over. Unmoored from any scientific grounding, the West abandoned its enlightenment principles and became, for a time, a pale imitation of fascist China. As a bonus, according to the International Monetary Fund, only one G20 economy grew in 2020. Can you guess which?

But we are getting ahead of ourselves. The key point here is that all western nations had plans that were based on over 100 years of sound pandemic science. What's more, these plans were written by rational riders. When China's fear bomb of propaganda went off, an elephant stampede began which saw these plans trampled. Only Sweden held firm to the science. The result is that they achieved superior health outcomes while avoiding the horrendous consequences of following China's lead. Never forget. In the end, Sweden was right.

---

<sup>2</sup> Baker, S. (2020, January 23). *China extended its Wuhan coronavirus quarantine to 2 more cities, cutting off 19 million people in an unprecedented effort to stop the outbreak.* Business Insider. <https://www.businessinsider.com/china-wuhan-coronavirus-quarantine-extended-cities-cut-off-2020-1>


## CHAPTER 4

### **Red Pill #2 - Average Age**

*The Average Age Of A Covid Death Was Greater Than Average Life Expectancy. Covid Was Only A Serious Threat To The Very Old And Infirm. It Should Have Been Treated For What It Was, A Bad Flu.*

The average age of a Covid Death in Britain was 82.3 years, whereas the average life expectancy is 81. In Canada the average age of death with Covid was 83.5 years, which compares to an average life expectancy of 76.5. Similar figures can be found all over the Western World. Below is a graph from the Province of British Columbia showing how Covid affected different age ranges in 2020.

**Figure 7:** Percentage distribution of COVID-19 cases, hospitalizations, ICU admissions and deaths by age, compared to the general population<sup>†</sup> of BC, January 1 – August 6, 2020 (N=3,863\*)



\*Only cases with age information available are included.

† PEOPLE2019-2020 population estimates


Note: COVID hospitalizations have been reported in the <10y and 10-19y age groups but represent <1% of hospitalizations and are therefore not visible.

What is clear from this information is that the threat Covid posed was overwhelmingly focused on the very old and frail. This simple and hopeful fact was never understood by most of the public. Thanks in large part to the propaganda efforts of China and its western counterparts in the #CovidRegime, most people had a hugely skewed perception of the threat posed by the virus. For example, in April of 2020 most Americans believed that they had a 25 percent chance of dying if they became infected. This was about 100 times the actual risk. People under the age of 40 thought their risk of dying from Coronavirus was one in five. That estimate is off by a factor of 10,000. In fact, healthy people under 30 had almost no risk at all.

Why were people's perceptions of risk so skewed? Once again, China's propaganda efforts and man's irrational nature are front and centre. In this case, the World Health Organization, which during the "Pandemic" revealed itself as being little more than a propaganda outlet for the CCP, played a huge role. To wit, on March 3, 2020 WHO Director-General Tedros Ghebreyesus claimed that:

*"Globally, about 3.4% of reported COVID-19 cases have died. In comparison, seasonal flu generally kills far fewer than 1% of those infected."*

These same numbers were presented in testimony before the United States Congress a few days later along with the following chart:



Based on the above, it would appear that Covid was more dangerous than the common flu.

However, it wasn't true. It was a lie.


Whether it was misinformation (a mistake) or disinformation (a lie told to deliberately deceive, manipulate, or influence public opinion, propaganda by another name) what the WHO was guilty of here was comparing the Infection Fatality Rate (IFR) of the flu to a wild guess at the Case Fatality Rate (CFR) of Covid. What is the difference? IFR refers to an estimation of the virus infection in the general population. To use the flu as an example, scientists will take a random population sample and test them for a particular flu virus.

From this data they can determine:

- How many people have already had the flu and recovered? The more of these you have, the more likely it is that the virus has been circulating for awhile.
- How many have flu antibodies but never got sick? This gives an indication of just how potent this particular flu virus is.
- Of your initial test subjects, how many of them would later get the flu and succumb to it?
- If any of your subjects later died, what other factors might have contributed to this outcome? Some common *comorbidities* (meaning other diseases/conditions that are present) are age and weight.

Typically, the IFR for the flu for an entire population is around 0.16% with a range of 0.005% for the young to 0.9% for those older than 65.

The Case Fatality Rate refers to people who are so sick that they have been admitted to hospitals for care. This is why they are recorded as actual cases. The CFR is usually much higher than the IFR as it is measuring extremely sick people who required hospital care. Comparing the IFR of the flu to the estimated CFR of Covid was either gross incompetence or deliberate malice on the WHO's part. Below is how the graph would look when an apples-to-apples comparison (IFR to IFR) is made.



An IFR of 0.16% is not that much different than 0.2%, is it? What's more, again note how skewed the data is towards the elderly. With IFR's this low, Covid was less of a threat to those younger than 65 than dying in an automobile accident.

So, the #CovidRegime, in this case represented by the World Health Organization, failed completely due to incompetence or outright malice. Did anyone get it right?

One of the scientists who did was Stanford's Dr. John Ioannidis. One of the world's most cited and published public health experts, he saw right away the errors in the WHO's initial statements.

*"Reported case fatality rates, like the official 3.4% rate from the WHO, cause horror - and are meaningless"*

- Dr. John Ioannidis, March 7, 2020

In his now prescient article *"A fiasco in the making? As the coronavirus pandemic takes hold, we are making decisions without reliable data"*, Ioannidis correctly pointed out that the data the WHO and other health authorities were using at that time were horrible. The testing to that point had been limited and had not been done on a representative random sample of the population. Health authorities could not know if they were missing infections by a factor of three or 300. The one situation where an entire, closed population had been tested was the Diamond Princess cruise ship. In this case, the Case Fatality Rate was 1.0%, but this was with a largely elderly population. The few deaths



that did occur were all over the age of 60, and most were much older than that. Based on this, Ioannidis estimated that Covid might result in a Case Fatality Rate of between 0.05% and 1.0%. Please note that a CFR of 0.05% is lower than seasonal influenza.

Another person who got it right was William Monroe, who had previously worked at British Columbia's Statistics Agency. Looking at the data that was used to create the previous graph, he noted:

*"It was obvious to anybody who looked at the data from British Columbia and also data from China from January and February that this was age-specific, and the median age of death was as old, if not older than [Canada's average] life expectancy ..."*

And

*"COVID-19 itself can be seen as more of an irritant at the end of life rather than life-threatening. Influenza, it can kill young and old. It's no comparison."*

It's worth noting that it was individuals who had the ability to think for themselves, such as Ioannidis and Monroe, who got things right. It would appear that large, bureaucratic organizations like the WHO and the CDC are extremely vulnerable to group think and politics, among other outside forces. This is why the individual who can think for themselves outside of these systems is so valuable. At the very least, it shows you why free speech is so important, and the China like censorship campaign that silenced such voices waged by the #CovidRegime was so harmful and must never be repeated.

## CHAPTER 5

### **Red Pill #3 - Lockdowns**

*Lockdowns Were A Disaster. They Should Never Have Been Tried And They Must Never Be Allowed To Occur Again.*

Deceiving the West into abandoning over 100 years of pandemic planning and knowledge to accept authoritarian lockdowns was a masterstroke by Xi Jinping. This triumph of information warfare set off an A-Bomb of fear in the heart of the western world. It allowed the fascist leaders of China to conjure up a shadowy reflection of themselves, the #CovidRegime. This was the catalyst that allowed for all of the despotic policies to follow, from social distancing to masking to vaccine mandates. Without the fear generated by lockdowns, none of the subsequent actions of the #CovidRegime would have been possible.

There was nothing scientific about lockdowns. The idea of locking down a healthy population for any length of time simply didn't exist until China's fascist leaders authorized them. In the case of *County of Butler v. Wolf* (a legal challenge brought against Pennsylvania Governor Wolf over the constitutional legitimacy of lockdowns) Judge William Stickman wrote:

*"...even for a 'Very High Severity' pandemic (defined as one comparable to the Spanish Flu), the guidelines provide only that "CDC recommends voluntary home isolation of ill*

*persons,’ and ‘CDC might recommend voluntary home quarantine of exposed household members in areas where novel influenza circulates.’ This is a far, far cry from a statewide lockdown ...”*

Worldwide, no public health guidance supported the idea of lockdowns. What was recommended was a far lighter touch. As previously noted (but it bears repeating as it can’t be said enough) recall what Dr. D.A. Henderson had to say on the subject in 2006:

*“Experience has shown that communities faced with epidemics or other adverse events respond best and with the least anxiety when the normal social functioning of the community is least disrupted.”*

Once again, behold the wisdom of Chesterton’s Fence. Societies are incredibly complex entities made up of an unimaginable number of overlapping and interconnecting variables. It’s like an unimaginably complex machine that no one fully understands. To shut that machine down in an attempt to control one variable (such as a virus) is to risk the entire system. Here are just some of the problems that should have been thought of before lockdowns were attempted, but in the heat of Beijing’s A-bomb of fear were not.

- How is the infection spread? If it is an aerosol virus (which it was), meaning that it can spread great distances through the air, then lockdowns would be counterproductive. Everyone knows that flus and colds spike in winter and decline in summer. Why? Because when it’s cold people tend to stay inside, making it more likely that the virus will accumulate. What’s more, ultraviolet light and fresh air help kill and disperse virus particles. This was common knowledge 5 minutes before the pandemic of fear began.
- People’s mental state has a huge influence on their immune systems. By locking citizens in their own homes like a prisoner, depriving them of the joys of life while piling worries on them (the stress of just what is occurring, the dark thoughts of deteriorating finances, etc) is the antithesis of public health.
- Is everyone equally at risk? If only a small subset of the population is vulnerable,

then locking down everyone is a net loss for public health. This was exactly the case with Covid, in which the vulnerable were overwhelmingly the very old and those with other health issues. This leads to the double whammy of making it MORE likely that the vulnerable will get sick while preventing the young from achieving herd immunity.

- Lockdowns will prevent people from seeking needed medical attention for other health issues such as cancer screenings, raising mortality rates for other diseases.
- Lockdowns will cause economic uncertainty and loneliness. This can lead to such issues as depression, domestic abuse, child abuse, and alcoholism, among other evils.
- Before the upside-down world of the #CovidRegime, it was recognized that healthy people (called asymptomatic) do not spread disease. How does locking healthy people up make any sense at all?

These are but a small sampling of the known negative consequences that lockdowns would cause and were why they were never recommended. However, the A-bomb of propaganda and fear that Xi let off was enough to overcome all logic, science and reason. Once again, quoting Judge William Stickman in *County of Butler v. Wolf*:

*“The fact is that the lockdowns imposed across the United States in early 2020 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic are unprecedented in the history of our Commonwealth and our Country. They have never been used in response to any other disease in our history. They were not recommendations made by the CDC. They were unheard of by the people of this nation until just this year. It appears as though the imposition of lockdowns in Wuhan and other areas of China - a nation unconstrained by concern for civil liberties and constitutional norms - started a domino effect where one country and state after another imposed draconian and hitherto untried measures on their citizens. “*

Beijing’s propaganda wizards were able to generate so much fear in western populations that no government, save Sweden, was able to resist them. People were so terrified that they demanded that their governments DO something, anything, whether it made sense or not. Fear sells (not to mention that it might hurt Trump) so the #CorporatePress were willing accomplices, never asking the questions they should have

asked. Only the Dutch government, alone among western governments, did a cost/benefit analysis on the cost of lockdowns. It found that the damage caused by lockdowns would outweigh any potential benefits by a ratio of 6 to 1. By that point, however, public opinion had so overwhelmingly been taken over by fear that this report was buried and the Dutch government went along with everyone else. Once again, you can't stop a stampede of fear and ignorance with facts and figures. Man is not rational. Xi and his minions knew this. They are the puppet masters of propaganda and they pulled our strings with unparalleled mastery.


Unsurprisingly in retrospect, the unscientific, authoritarian Chinese inspired policy of lockdowns were a disaster. To this day, not a single study demonstrates that lockdowns saved lives. One analysis found that the United States suffered more than 200,000 extra deaths in 2020 by following China as opposed to Sweden's scientific and common sense approach. Worse, these deaths were heavily skewed to younger people, indicating they were entirely preventable deaths from despair, not Covid. Rates of smoking, drinking, and obesity all increased. A murderer's row of public health pathologies all surged such as stroke, heart attack, diabetes and drug overdoses. The social fabric was torn apart as murders, child abuse and traffic accidents rose while trust in all major public institutions, not to mention the trust citizens have in each other, fell. Probably the greatest tragedy was the effect it had on children. How do you measure the loss in terms of schooling missed, or memories lost? The gloriously mundane events that make up a child's life from birthday parties to soccer games to just playing in the park were all stripped away. Anyone who had a passing familiarity with Chesterton's Fence would have understood this. Unfortunately, our entire leadership class lacked this wisdom and we will be living with the consequences of their ignorance for generations.

Lockdowns were a disaster. They should never have been done in the first place and they must never be repeated.

## CHAPTER 6

### Red Pill #4 - Masks

*There Was Nothing Scientific Or Beneficial About Wearing Masks. Maintaining The Atmosphere Of Fear That Powered The Authoritarianism Of The #CovidRegime Is All This Useless Ritual Accomplished.*



Above is a graph taken from Ian Miller's excellent book *Unmasked: The Global Failure Of Covid Mask Mandates*<sup>3</sup>. In chart after chart he shows that mask mandates made no

<sup>3</sup> <https://geni.us/expertsUnmasked>

difference to Covid cases in the US or anywhere in the world. Masks do nothing to protect the wearer from infection or others from transmission. This should not have been a surprise to anyone, as the pre-pandemic science was clear: masks do not work. As this is the case, why did the #CovidRegime adopt and promote this useless and ultimately harmful ritual? Before we examine this, we need to understand the science on why masks are so useless in the first place.

Masks were found to be ineffective during the much more severe Spanish Flu in 1918. Dr. W.H. Kellogg, working for the California State Board of Health, wrote a study on the efficacy of masking during this real pandemic and concluded they did nothing. On February 6, 2020, scientists in Hong Kong published a meta-analysis on the efficacy of masks in regard to the flu, which is very similar to Covid in terms of transmission. This analysis looked at all of the available studies going back to 1946. Once again, they found that masks do nothing. In their words:

*“We did not find evidence that surgical-type face masks are effective in reducing laboratory-confirmed influenza transmission, either when worn by infected persons (source control) or by persons in the general community to reduce their susceptibility.”<sup>4</sup>*

In the Canadian province of Ontario, some hospitals attempted to make nurses wear masks if they did not get a flu shot. The case went to arbitration twice and on both occasions, it was found that nurses could not be forced to wear masks due to the paucity of evidence supporting them. In the first case, arbitrator James Hayes wrote that “...*the scientific evidence said to support the [mask mandate] on patient safety grounds is insufficient.*” In the second case arbitrator William Kaplan went further than Hayes in 2018, writing that the evidence for mask mandates were “*insufficient, inadequate, and completely unpersuasive.*”

Even the World Health Organization stated in March 2020 that all randomized control trials (RCTs) had shown that masks do not work.

---


<sup>4</sup> Berenson, A. (2021). *Pandemia: How Coronavirus Hysteria Took Over Our Government, Rights, and Lives* (p. 183). Regency Publishing.

So, the science was settled. Before the rise of the #CovidRegime it was a scientifically proven fact that masks were worthless.

But why don't they? Why are they so ineffective?

It's a simple question of mechanics. Both the flu and Covid are respiratory viruses that are spread through the air as aerosols. A flu virus is .08 to .12 microns in size (a micron is one-millionth of a meter) whereas SARS CoV-2 is about 0.1 microns. This compares to the pore sizes of a regular cloth mask which are from 80 to 500 microns in size, whereas a blue surgical mask contains pores that are 19.3 microns across. As Anthony "The Science" Fauci noted *"The typical mask you buy in the drug store is not really effective in keeping out virus, which is small enough to pass through the material ... I do not recommend that you wear a mask ..."*

"The Science" was quite correct. Attempting to use a mask to stop virus spread makes about as much sense as erecting a chain-link fence to stop mosquitoes.



Time and again Fauci proved that he understood the settled science on masks. In a private email dated February 4, 2020, to former Obama administration official Sylvia Burwell (later revealed due to a Freedom Of Information Act Request) Fauci stated the



following:

*"Masks are really for infected people to prevent them from spreading infection to people who are not infected rather than protecting uninfected people from acquiring infection ... The typical mask you buy in the drug store is not really effective in keeping out virus, which is small enough to pass through the material ... I do not recommend that you wear a mask ..."*

Later, in his infamous 60 Minutes interview on March 8, 2020, Fauci again correctly explained the true science on masks.

*"There's no reason to be walking around with masks. When you're in the middle of an outbreak, wearing a mask might make people feel a little bit better and it might even block a droplet, but it's not providing the perfect protection that people think it is. And, often, there are unintended consequences - people keep fiddling with the mask and they keep touching their face."*

Finally, in one of the last examples of #RealScience before the world went mad, physicians writing in *The New England Journal of Medicine* on April 1, 2020, had this to say on mask efficacy:

*"We know that wearing a mask outside health care facilities offers little, if any, protection from infection ... In many cases, the desire for widespread masking is a reflexive reaction to anxiety over the pandemic."* (Emphasis mine)

And then, on April 3<sup>rd</sup>, without one scrap of evidence asked for or received, everything changed. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) completely reversed itself on masks with no explanation. Appearing on PBS, Anthony Fauci did the same thing.

*"If everybody does that (wear a mask whether they are sick or not), we're each protecting each other."*

Fauci attempted to explain away this reversal by claiming that he lied to prevent a run on surgical masks. This should have been seen as the obvious lie it was at the time as health care workers do not wear the kind of cloth masks ( 500 microns in pore size!) that Fauci was then advocating. As well, hospitals do not purchase masks through the same channels as the general public. What reveals this to be an even more obvious fraud is the private email he wrote to Sylvia Burwell. If he believed this, don't you think he would have told her? *I don't want to cause a run on masks, but, between you and me, you might want to wear one?* He didn't though, because he knew they don't.

As they are part of the same corrupt establishment, the #CorporatePress simply accepted this. If they had been doing their job, they might have asked how this 180 degree change occurred. Had the science changed? Was every study and pandemic plan wrong on masks? How did he come to this decision? What was his thought process? The #CorporatePress should have asked these questions, but didn't. They should never be forgiven for this.

It is a fool's errand to look for a single logical reason as to why, in lockstep, health authorities around the world reversed their guidance on masks as it doesn't exist. Turning on a dime and going against over a hundred years of settled science has nothing to do with reason or logic. Once again, we are faced with the reality of man's irrational nature. Or, in the words of science fiction author Robert Heinlein, *"Man is not a rational animal, he is a rationalizing animal."* Still, we can discern some of the factors that likely went into the adoption and promotion of this absurd policy. The primary mover, unsurprisingly, was China.

In a 2015 speech to the People's Liberation Army (PLA) Xi Jinping outlined his vision for the CCP's international propaganda:

*"Wherever the readers are, wherever the viewers are, that is where propaganda reports must extend their tentacles, and that is where we find the focal point and end point of propaganda and ideology work."*

Masking, as with so many of the other useless Covid rituals that the #CovidRegime would eventually adopt, can be traced back to these Chinese tentacles and their mastery of information warfare. It began with the theatre of the Wuhan lockdown. When the World Health Organization sent representatives to investigate in February, they spoke approvingly of China's methods. In the words of WHO Assistant Director-General Bruce Aylward:

*"What China has demonstrated is, you have to do this. If you do it, you can save lives and prevent thousands of cases of what is a very difficult disease."*

And later, on an interview with China Central Television, Aylward asserted:

*"Copy China's response to Covid-19"*

And what was China's response to Covid-19? Lockdowns, social distancing, contact tracing, and masking. All of the anti-science authoritarian measures that the West's #CovidRegimes would eventually institute. Whether Bruce Aylward was a willing agent of China's fascist government or merely a useful idiot is an open question (personally, I lean towards useful idiot). Nonetheless, China's information pathogen had now entered western thought through the authority of the World Health Organization. Impressive. But China didn't stop there.

True to his word, Xi used every means at his disposal to extend his propaganda tentacles. The WHO was an official channel, but China proved to be a master at social media as well. As an example, Hua Chunying, an official Chinese foreign spokesperson, posted a viral video on Twitter. In this video an adorable 7 year old girl, in English, admonishes the viewer to follow China's policies.

*"I can't go to school. I can't see my friends ... But I know all these sacrifices will be worth it. I have stayed at home for two months already. I wear mask. I wash my hands. I don't go to crowded areas in order to stop the virus spreading. Because I know, if I don't do so, I might be infected, and infect my dad, my mum, and my brother. If they are sick, they might*

*die... Coronavirus is a global health emergency... It should not be a political matter to be used against other nations. I am only seven years old. I understand it, but why do some adults don't get it? To those national leaders, stop blaming each other... The virus won't go away by winning a political argument... I have this common sense, why don't you?"*<sup>5</sup>

China also leveraged its political connections. On July 7, FBI director Christopher Wray disclosed that the CCP was aggressively approaching political leaders to endorse China's authoritarian approach to Covid-19.

*"We have heard from federal, state, and even local officials that Chinese diplomats are aggressively urging support for China's handling of the Covid-19 crisis. Yes, this is happening at both the federal and state levels. Not that long ago, we had a state senator who was recently even asked to introduce a resolution supporting China's response to the pandemic."*

Xi Jinping's greatest propaganda coup, however, may have come in the influence they had on Deputy National Security Advisor Matt Pottinger. Pottinger felt that he had many contacts in China whom he trusted. However, these "trusted" sources would soon prove to be little more than conduits for #CCPpropaganda. It was through his belief in these sources that Pottinger would press for the US to adopt China's policies on lockdowns, using the dangerous drug Remdesivir, and the benefits of masking. (I'm really giving short shrift to Pottinger here. For more I recommend you read "*The Talented Mr. Pottinger: The US Intelligence Agent Who Pushed Lockdowns*" at the Brownstone Institute.)<sup>6</sup>

These are but some of the propaganda tactics that China employed to deceive the West into turning against its own scientific knowledge and enlightenment values. (Once again, I urge you to read Michael Senger's book "*SnakeOil*" for more.) What makes China so effective at propaganda is that they truly understand what motivates

---

<sup>5</sup> <https://twitter.com/SpokespersonCHN/status/1246665952139198464?s=20>

<sup>6</sup> Senger, M. (2022, July 20). *The Talented Mr. Pottinger: The US Intelligence Agent Who Pushed Lockdowns*. Brownstone.org. <https://brownstone.org/articles/matt-pottinger-the-us-intelligence-agent-who-pushed-lockdowns/>

individuals, the institutions they inhabit and the power structures that emanate from them.

China understood the psychology of fear and its political ramifications. Once again, getting the West's leaders to agree to lockdowns was key. This unleashed a pathogen far more dangerous than any virus, and that pathogen was fear. China knew that once you turn a society's fear dial up to 100 you can't just turn it back to 0 after 15 days. In the minds of a majority of the public the invisible threat that lockdowns necessitated was still there and their mental elephants were still stampeding. A still terrified population demanded that politicians do something, and it didn't matter if that "something" was in fact useless or even harmful. This is what the masking ritual was, an off ramp offered by the #CovidRegime to appease a frightened populace and to maintain the illusion that they knew what they were doing. Recall the earlier, prescient quote from the New England Journal of Medicine: *In many cases, the desire for widespread masking is a reflexive reaction to anxiety over the pandemic.* Precisely. A panicked population demanded that something be done, the politicians looked for something to appease them, and "The Science" complied.

But why did "The Science" comply? Why did public health officials such as Anthony Fauci and his counterparts around the world go along with this fraud? Why didn't they object? As you have already seen, Fauci knew the real science behind the ineffectiveness of masks. Prior to #TheGreatStupidity, my perception is that most people saw public health officials, doctors and scientists to be a little bit like Star Trek's Mr. Spock, selfless and logical. What the "Pandemic" revealed is the reality that they were all too human.

First of all, most public health officials are politicians first with "The Science" coming in a distant second. Working your way up the greasy pole of government power requires that you do not rock the boat and are ready to give the answers your masters want to hear. Your job is to give those in power the cover for the decisions they make. You can try to influence their decisions if you wish, but if the tide turns, you need to cover for that possibility as well. This is why Fauci always comes across as a mealy mouthed politician when he gives another non-answer to a direct question. He can

never give a direct explanation because he needs to leave wiggle room in case the political winds change. Public health officials protect those to whom they owe power. During the “Pandemic” they proved themselves to be about as reliable and trustworthy as the tobacco sponsored scientists who produced report after report demonstrating that smoking was safe.

The second issue can be summarized as “The Expert Problem.” What is an expert? An expert is someone whom you hope knows more than you. We were constantly told to “Trust the Experts” ( along with its corollary, “Follow the Science”) during the “Pandemic”. However, what most people don’t understand is that there are two kinds of “Experts”. The first class is made up of practical “Experts” as their areas of expertise force them to deal with the real world and its consequences. An example of first-class experts are people like plumbers or architects. A plumber whose pipes constantly leak will not be in business very long. Ditto for an architect whose buildings collapse. The key point is that there are severe consequences if these “Experts” get things wrong. This is in direct contrast to the second class of “Experts” who ply their trade in the world of ideas. They make plans that can involve and impact multiple different variables in society over a long time frame. This is the world of academics, consultants, and thought leaders. Although they can wield tremendous influence, they are rarely directly reasonable for the matters on which they opine. Therefore, they suffer no real world consequences if they are wrong. Once western leaders abandoned their well thought out pandemic plans for on-the-fly policy making, the #CovidRegime made extensive use of these #SecondClassExperts to justify their actions. Despite recommending policies that were consistently wrong and often illogical, these #SecondClassExperts maintained their positions of authority and influence during the reign of the #CovidRegime.

The downfall of #SecondClassExperts is typically their own arrogance in never being able to admit when they were wrong or even unsure about something. Incubated in a world of ideas they have been told how brilliant they are their entire lives. I suspect that admitting they are mistaken about anything causes them such psychic pain that they avoid it at all costs. Instead, they prefer to use their intelligence to rationalize away why they weren’t so wrong in the first place. The second reason they never admit they are wrong is because their power and influence is a direct consequence of being perceived

as an expert. This is why they so readily ignore the wisdom of Chesterton's Fence. To recognize the limits on knowledge that this allegory represents is to admit their own limitations. As a #SecondClassExpert, you don't build a career by doing that.

The epitome of a #SecondClassExpert is undoubtedly Anthony "The Science" Fauci. Throughout #TheGreatStupidity, he was constantly offering advice in service of the #CovidRegime that would later be proven wrong. For example, in an interview he gave to NPR in October 2021, he offered this "expert" opinion:

*"And when you have that type of viral dynamic, even when you have kids vaccinated, you certainly - when you are in an indoor setting, you want to make sure you go the extra step to protect them. So I can't give you an exact number of what that would be in the dynamics of virus in the community, but hopefully we will get there within a reasonable period of time. You know, masks often now - as we say, they're not forever. And hopefully we'll get to a point where we can remove the masks in schools and in other places. But I don't believe that that time is right now."*<sup>7</sup>

What is clearly on display here is Fauci speaking as a con man/politician rather than as "The Science" that he claims to be. Kids were never at risk from Covid and should never have been vaccinated in the first place. Schools should never have been shut down, and masks are useless. Phrases like, "...I can't give you an exact number...", "...reasonable period of time..." and "...hopefully we will get there in a reasonable period of time..." are all tells for a person who doesn't know what they are talking about. What data is he using? What studies? What reports? He doesn't say because he doesn't have any. He's just making it up in order to maintain the illusion that he knows what he is talking about and should be listened to.

Another menace posed by #SecondClassExperts is that in their arrogance, they often feel qualified to opine on subjects that are well outside their area of expertise (hello, Bill Gates). From there, their cleverness allows them to project outsized influence in these areas, often with disastrous results. On the subject of promoting masks, there were

---

<sup>7</sup> Miller, I. (2023). *Illusion of Control: COVID-19 and the Collapse of Expertise* (p. 71). Post Hill Press.

many #SecondClassExperts who fell into this category. However, none was more influential than a professor of information science named Zeynep Tufekci. With no background in pandemic science or epidemiology, she wrote a hugely influential opinion piece in the New York Times entitled “*Why Telling People They Don’t Need Masks Backfired.*” Unbelievably, the CDC’s Michael Basso would later describe this scientifically illiterate article as being “*The Tipping Point*” in the CDC changing its masking policy. Once again, these are the thought processes of irrational, emotional humans, not logical Vulcans.

#Groupthink refers to the psychological phenomenon that occurs when people’s desire for conformity or harmony overrides their ability to think critically and independently. In terms of our instinctive elephant and rational rider analogy, elephants like to be in herds as groups offer protection whereas going off on your own can be dangerous. Once the elephants in the heads of all levels of health professionals began stampeding for mask use, all the rational rider could do was make up irrational rationalizations to justify it. This is what happened the world over. This is what Michael Basso did when he allowed Tufekci’s article to tip the scales to change CDC policy. In the case of Fauci, I suspect he sensed the political winds changing and just decided, “*What the hell, best to cover my ass, let’s do it.*” This is why health professionals the world over, from your family doctor on up, began to recommend masking. Have you ever watched and been impressed at how groups of birds can flock together, staying in perfect formation as they change direction? This is how health professionals behaved on the subject of masking as well as many others. Not as emotionless Vulcans studying the science independently, but as instinctive birds flying in formation. It worked for them in the past, and they weren’t going to change now. That’s why health organizations, with a hard nudge from China, began to recommend masking.

And with that, in true 1984 style, Eurasia was no longer our enemy but our friend and the masking ritual was put in place. What were the results?

As we have already seen, universal masking did nothing to halt the spread of Covid-19. At a practical level, they are uncomfortable and unhygienic. Unless replaced



frequently, they can collect carcinogenic and other volatile organic compounds which contribute to disease. The masks themselves are typically made from synthetic microfibres which can break down over time and then enter the lungs.

Worse still is that masks can lead to dangerous carbon dioxide buildup for the wearer. Here is how this breaks down:

- A person not wearing a mask is breathing fresh air made up of 0.04% CO<sub>2</sub>
- Air is considered toxic when carbon dioxide concentration reaches 0.3% CO<sub>2</sub>
- Wearing a mask can cause excess CO<sub>2</sub> in the range of 1.41% to 3.2%
- This means that a level 8 times the regular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is toxic.. Masks can increase that by 35 to 80 times

What are the potential negative impacts for people with excess CO<sub>2</sub>? Here's the butcher's bill for that:

- - 0.05% to 0.5% CO<sub>2</sub>
  - Increased heart rate, blood pressure
  - Headache, fatigue, difficulty concentrating, dizziness, dry cough, rhinitis (nasal congestion)
- 0.5% to 1.0 % CO<sub>2</sub>
  - Reduced cognitive performance, impaired decision making
- 1.0 % to 1.4% CO<sub>2</sub>
  - the harmful effects include respiratory acidosis, metabolic stress, increased blood flow and decreased exercise tolerance
- 1.4% to 3.2% CO<sub>2</sub>
  - Testes metabolism and cell respiration have been shown to be inhibited increasingly by rising levels of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Here's another fact regarding the dangers of excessive CO<sub>2</sub>.. The US Navy does not

allow CO2 levels to exceed 0.8% on submarines for pregnant women because these levels have been found to result in a 33% increase in still births. So, mask mandates forced pregnant women to wear masks and expose themselves to levels of CO2 that the US Navy doesn't permit<sup>8</sup>.

Do you remember a time, prior to #TheGreatStupidity, when your mom would make you go outside to get some sun and fresh air because it was healthy? It turns out, mom was right. Fresh air is good for you. Breathing it through a face diaper is not.

However, I believe it goes deeper than that.

Mask advocates like Bill Gates could never understand people's resistance to wearing masks. "*It's just a mask,*" he would say. What Gates and other #SecondClassExperts like him failed to grasp is how complex human social interactions actually are. He and his ilk never appreciated or humbled themselves before Chesterton's Fence. We are a social species. Since human beings first appeared on the planet we have been using our faces as a means of communication and bonding. I don't believe it's an exaggeration to say faces make us human. Being able to see someone laugh, cry, or smile isn't a trivial matter to be easily discounted; it is central to who we are. People are not computer code. Forcefully covering a person's face is a malicious, totalitarian act designed to crush the human spirit while making people fearful of each other. What is the person walking towards you thinking or feeling? How can you know? Masks prevent children from learning and experiencing joy. Do you really think preventing a child from seeing their mother's face is a small thing? Is it really just a mask? Mandatory masking policies were not only useless, but an act of evil. They should be remembered for what they were: a symbol of the #CovidRegime's authoritarian fascism and control. This must never be forgotten, and they must never be used again.

---

<sup>8</sup> Anderson, J. H. (2023, May 9). *The Harm Caused by Masks*. City Journal. <https://www.city-journal.org/article/the-harm-caused-by-masks>

## Additional Resources

Podcaster and libertarian Tom Woods created a website that demonstrates to anyone the futility of the unscientific rituals instituted by the #CovidRegime such as lockdowns and masking. Using Ian Miller's charts, the quiz invites the user to guess when various measures were implemented. It doesn't take long before the user realizes that these measures didn't affect Covid cases one iota. It is an extremely useful website:

[www.covidchartsquiz.com](http://www.covidchartsquiz.com)

## CHAPTER 7

# Red Pill #5 - Novel Vaccines

*The mRNA Vaccines Were Never Safe And Effective. They Were Rushed, Poorly Tested, Unnecessary, And Ultimately Harmful.*

At the beginning of the “Pandemic” it was accepted that vaccines were not the solution. One of the reasons for this was the nature of coronaviruses themselves. There are six known coronaviruses that can infect humans, four of which circulate regularly and result in colds. These coronaviruses mutate rapidly, which is why all attempts to make a vaccine for them have failed since the 1960’s. Even super-vaccine proponent Dr. Peter Hotez acknowledged these difficulties in Congressional testimony. The second reason was just how long it takes to develop and then adequately test any vaccine. For example, this is what Dr. Anthony Fauci had to say on the subject of vaccine approval in 2019:

*“In order to make the transition from getting out of the tried and true egg growing which we know gives us results that can be, you know, beneficial, I mean we’ve done well with that, to something that has to be much better, you have to prove that this works and then you have to go through all of the clinical trials: phase 1, phase 2, phase 3, and then show that this particular product is going to be good over a period of years. That alone, if it works*

*perfectly, is going to take a decade.”<sup>9</sup>*

So, according to Anthony “The Science” Fauci (2019 version) it would take ten years to develop a new vaccine, whether using proven methods or newer, more experimental ones. Based on these realities the idea that a vaccine could safely be developed in time was not feasible. Instead, the “Pandemic” would have to be dealt with using well established pandemic protocols, much like the 1918 Spanish Flu had been. It took 2 years for the astronomically more dangerous Spanish Flu to run its course. There was no reason to think that the Covid-19 “Pandemic” wouldn’t be the same.

However, Big Pharma and its allies had something else in mind.

When Anthony Fauci referenced ‘... *something that has to be much better...*’ he is referring to experimental mRNA vaccine technology. Traditional vaccines work by using weakened or inactive forms of the actual pathogen, whether it’s a virus or bacteria. This traditional vaccine is then injected into the patient in order to stimulate their immune system and produce an antibody response. The experimental mRNA vaccines, on the other hand, uses a small part of the pathogen’s genetic material, specifically the messenger RNA (mRNA). The mRNA is placed inside an outer shell made up of lipids. Lipids are organic compounds that are insoluble in water but soluble in other organic solvents. This combination forms the mRNA vaccine. The vaccine is then injected into the patient where the cells will absorb the lipid particles which are then dissolved, releasing the RNA into the cell. The cell can’t tell the difference between the imported RNA and its own, so it produces proteins based on it. It is these proteins that then appear on the surface of the cell, activating the immune system.

The promise of mRNA vaccines was always immense, primarily due to the speed at which they could potentially be developed. Traditional vaccine development takes time as it involves growing and manipulating the actual pathogen. In theory, mRNA vaccines can be developed much faster as they involve isolating the genetic information

---

<sup>9</sup> Seekers, P. T., MD (2019, October 29). *Unearthed Video from October 29, 2019 Reveals Anthony Fauci Contradictions on mRNA Vaccines*. Rumble. <https://rumble.com/v1hm8p9-unearthed-video-from-october-29-2019-reveals-anthony-fauci-contradictions-o.html>

from the pathogen's genome. Once isolated, the relevant mRNA can be incorporated into the delivery mechanism, which is the lipid outer shell, thus creating a new vaccine.

This was the theory and promise of mRNA technology. However, although it had been studied and worked on for decades, no mRNA vaccine had ever made it to market. There were two huge problems with the mRNA technology that had been bedeviling the project from the start. One was isolating the correct genetic information. The theory was correct, but in practice, it was not so easy. The second problem was with the platform itself. Any cell that receives the foreign RNA will be targeted by your immune system and destroyed. If the vaccine and cells stay in your arm, this is OK. But what happens if the vaccine goes to other areas of your body, such as your heart? This is how Brett Weinstein, a Professor of Evolutionary Biology put it on his podcast.

*"The mRNA platform is brilliant. But it has a giant gaping flaw in it, which is any cell of yours that produces a foreign protein will be targeted by your immune system and destroyed. You will create an autoimmune disorder when it works. How do you keep it out of your heart? Not by coding it in a lipid nanoparticle. So, they had no way to deliver it safely to market. So then they had a pandemic, the emergency allowed them to do it. This technology, in my opinion, was at least 3 decades out from being usefully and safely deployed at all, if at all. They did not want to wait, this crisis gave them the opportunity not to wait. And now, they will blame the spike protein, we picked the wrong protein. When in actual fact there are 2 problems, the spike protein and the platform itself."*<sup>10</sup>

Dr. Robert Malone, one of the inventors of mRNA technology, shared Weinstein's concerns. He knew that mRNA vaccines had the potential to attack people's immune systems, allowing dormant viruses to assert themselves. The endocrine system, which controls your energy levels, sex drive, and mental state, among other processes, could also be negatively affected.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup> <https://twitter.com/drcole12/status/1688944443175624704?s=20>

<sup>11</sup> Rubin, D. (2023, February 12). *Why Did We Hide & Ignore This Vaccine Data?* | Dr. Robert Malone | POLITICS | Rubin Report. YouTube. <https://youtu.be/52ML4SNr3gE>

These were the flaws in the mRNA platform. This is why this technology, which had been studied since the 1960s, had not yet been able to bring one viable product to market. This is why Fauci expressed such deserved caution when he said it would take at least 10 years to bring these vaccines to market, even if everything went perfectly. The promise was huge (as were the potential profits for #BigPharma) but so was the danger. The drug companies had a potentially very lucrative product to bring to the market, but they couldn't make it safe enough to do so. Then along came Covid, and everything changed.

As there were no other drugs approved to treat Covid (low cost and safe drugs such as Ivermectin having been suppressed by the #CovidRegime) approval was given to the drug companies to produce a vaccine under Emergency Use Authorization. This allowed #BigPharma to proceed at "The Speed Of Science"<sup>12</sup> and ignore all of the safeguards and trials that had prevented mRNA vaccines from reaching the market previously. Does this sound on the up and up to you? How much do you trust #BigPharma to adequately test their products when billions of dollars are at stake?

The initial Pfizer study involved 22,000 people who received 2 doses of the Covid Vaccine and 22,000 who received the placebo. In order to participate in the study participants had to meet certain criteria:

- Had to be older than 16 and healthy (not tested on children)
- Women could not be pregnant or breastfeeding
- Could not have weakened immune systems
- Could not have strong allergic reactions
- Only a very small percentage of the participants were elderly
- Only 35% of the participants were obese

One obvious problem with this trial's parameters is that it suffers from healthy

---

<sup>12</sup> North, T. (2022, October 11). *The Speed Of Science*. X.  
<https://x.com/TrueNorthCentre/status/1579830040858329089?s=20>

vaccine bias. The people who were in danger from Covid were the very old and the obese. If you are giving the vaccines to young, healthy people who would recover from Covid anyway you're not really learning anything. Despite this Pfizer claimed that their vaccines resulted in a 95% risk reduction between the placebo (control) and vaccine groups. They based this claim by testing people 4 weeks after the second dose was administered. In their reporting 0.9% developed symptomatic covid vs 0.05% in the vaccine group.

There are several problems with even this level of reporting. The first is that Pfizer was testing the vaccinated group when the vaccine was at its most potent. What would happen 8 weeks or 12 weeks after that? As the testing was so rushed, we will never know and Pfizer didn't care.

The second problem is just what is meant by "developing symptomatic Covid". People were interested in vaccines if they prevented severe illness or death. If they prevented you from getting a headache or the sniffles, who cares? What was Pfizer actually reporting?

#CovidHero Alex Berenson looked at the Pfizer Covid trials and was not impressed. In his opinion, all the trials showed was that if you boost a person's antibodies against an antigen (a foreign substance that will cause the body to produce an immune response) to extremely high levels, that person will temporarily gain strong protection. However, the body will bring those levels down quickly, often within 2 months. Berenson also noted that the Pfizer clinical trial showed that it did not reduce the risk of death. Fifteen patients who got the vaccine died, while fourteen who got the placebo died. Pfizer further muddied the waters by injecting the placebo group with the vaccine on the pretext that it was so important they couldn't withhold it. There is now no control group to compare health outcomes with those who got the vaccine. Convenient.

Despite the known issues with mRNA vaccines, the incredibly short trial and testing periods, and the less than impressive test results, the vaccines were approved for the public. Ignoring the fact that only the very old and infirm were truly vulnerable to



Covid, governments around the world began mandating vaccines for everyone. Or, more precisely, you either got the vaccine or you became a second-class citizen in your own country, often unable to work or participate in the things that make life worth living. The #CovidRegime's outrageous authoritarian overstep was yet another sign that this was a pandemic of fear and stupidity, not disease. Forcing people to get medical treatment against their will violated longstanding medical ethics as expressed in the Nuremberg and Helsinki Accords. It also violated the Common Rule, which is that people have a right to informed consent and cannot be coerced, compelled or enticed into a medical procedure. My body, my choice? When it comes to the vaccine, not so much.

To this day, I find it difficult to comprehend the depths to which the #CovidRegime sank in dividing the public in this manner. Prior to the introduction of the shot, society was functioning, even though stupid and counter-productive policies such as masking and social distancing were still being practiced. Covid was only a threat to a small portion of the population. If the vaccines worked as advertised, they should have been made available to the vulnerable, namely the very old and those with comorbidities. Even if the claims that the vax prevented infection and spread were true, and later emails revealed that health leaders such as Anthony Fauci and Francis Collins knew they weren't,<sup>13</sup> there was no excuse for this system of medical apartheid to be set up.

Why did it happen? I believe there are a few reasons. One reason is that the power of the #CovidRegime was rooted in fear and the public's trust in its competence to protect them. When the vaccines were created, they were oversold as the solution to end the "Pandemic". However, even an effective vaccine couldn't end a pandemic of fear and stupidity. If the vaccines had any effect, it was short-lived. From there, the vaccinated were potentially MORE vulnerable to Covid. #CovidHero Alex Berenson termed this phenomenon the "Happy Vaccine Valley," a trend that many countries soon experienced. Cases initially fell, but soon came back with a vengeance. The vaccines failed, people were afraid, and the #CovidRegime needed to maintain its illusion of

---

<sup>13</sup> Elbaum, J. (2023, June 20). *New emails show COVID vaccine mandates were based on a lie*. The Washington Examiner. <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/new-emails-show-covid-vaccine-mandates-were-based-on-a-lie>

control. So what did it do? It did what corrupt and despotic regimes have always done. It needed a scapegoat, and it found it in the unvaccinated. Despite achieving vaccination rates of 80 and 90 percent, the problem was those few people who resisted. They were the problem, not the fact that the #CovidRegime was incompetent, its narratives were false, and its vaccines were useless. I believe that, in time, this entire episode will be rightfully viewed with great shame.

And, of course, another possible reason the #CovidRegime pushed the shot so hard is that there was a tremendous amount of money to be made. #BigPharma, #BigGovernment, #BigTech and the #CorporateMedia all profited to one degree or another. When it comes to understanding the #TheGreatStupidity, following “The Science” rarely gets you anywhere. Not so when you follow the money.

Whether through propaganda, fear, or coercion, the #CovidRegime managed to get the vast majority of its citizens jabbed, regardless of need. As already noted, the vaccines failed right out of the gate. The most significant failure, directly contradicting the #CovidRegime’s initial claims, was that the vaccines did not prevent infection or transmission. After selling his stock in Pfizer partner BioNTech for 550 million dollars (on a 2019 investment of \$50 million), even #CovidVillian Bill Gates admitted this.

*“...we also need to fix the three problems of vaccines. The current vaccines are not infection blocking uh they’re not broad, so as new variants come up you lose protection and they have very short duration.”<sup>14</sup>*

The constant talking point from the various #CovidRegime mouthpieces was that the vaccines were “Safe and effective”. Clearly, they were not effective, which is bad enough. However, what’s even more concerning is that they may not even be that safe. The Journal of Clinical & Experimental Immunology reports that *“An abundance of studies has shown that the mRNA vaccines are neither safe nor effective, but outright dangerous.”<sup>15</sup>* The most obvious evidence is the rising cases of myocarditis, particularly

---

<sup>14</sup> Dore, J. (2023, January 31). *Bill Gates Is Sh\*tting On COVID Vaxx After Cashing In Stock!* YouTube - The Jimmy Dore Show. <https://youtu.be/pAcF4kUefb4?si=19TQY4keW0PcJkLo>

<sup>15</sup> Oosterhuis, P. (2022, September 10). *MRNA vaccines are neither safe nor effective.* Twitter.

in young men. Although the #CorporateMedia is doing its best to ignore it, the number of stories of extremely fit, healthy young men experiencing heart issues appears to be increasing. As I write this, basketball star LeBron James's son has collapsed on the court. Thankfully, he is likely to recover. Researchers in Switzerland, Hong Kong, and Italy have all published papers suggesting that myocarditis caused by mRNA vaccines is more common and may have longer-lasting effects on the heart than previously known.<sup>16</sup> It also appears that the danger of latent DNA viruses becoming reactivated, as Dr. Robert Malone feared, has proven correct. It seems that the mRNA vaccines wreak havoc on the immune system, leading to what some might call unforeseen consequences. Of course, it was only unforeseen if you ignored Chesterton's Fence while running in a panicked stampede. But that is where society was when these rushed and poorly tested novel vaccines were brought to market.

But it gets worse. Dr. Joseph Fraiman, who at one point was firmly a member of the #CovidRegime but had the wit to realize he was wrong, has published a study<sup>17</sup> showing that mRNA vaccines cause adverse events in 1 in 800 people. Now, an adverse event can be mild, such as a headache or the flu. However, the more adverse events are reported, the higher the likelihood of severe adverse events. The 1 in 800 figure, if correct, and no one from the #CovidRegime has yet debunked him, is incredibly high. The standard for all other vaccines, as a means of comparison, is 1 in a million at worst. The 1976 swine flu vaccine was pulled because its adverse effects were 1 in 100,000.<sup>18</sup>

The Fraiman study would seem to explain why all cause mortality is going up in all countries that used the rushed and experimental mRNA technology. The graphs below

---


<https://twitter.com/dragonfishy/status/1573269168786649089?s=20>

<sup>16</sup> Berenson, A. (2023, August 14). *On the cowardice of American scientists*. Unreported Truths. <https://alexberenson.substack.com/p/on-the-cowardice-of-american-scientists?>

<sup>17</sup> Demasi, M., Dr. (2023, June 30). *Sorry but Serious Harms from the Vaccine are Not Rare*. Brownstone Institute. <https://brownstone.org/articles/serious-harms-from-vaccine-are-not-rare/>

<sup>18</sup> Arora, R. (2023, June 22). *Vaccine Injuries: Why Can't We Be Honest?* YouTube - Trigonometry. <https://youtu.be/zwXsQU-WrUg>

show cumulative excess deaths spiking in Denmark, Finland, Norway, and the UK.<sup>19</sup>



For obvious, reasons, the #CovidRegime is trying it best to ignore this, blaming increasing deaths on everything up to and including climate change. But it doesn't matter. The tell-tale heart is beating under the floorboards of public health, and the truth can't be hidden forever.

Once again we come back to the truth that public health, like life, is unbelievably

<sup>19</sup> Campbell, J., Dr. (2023, July 16). *Excess deaths, the silence*. YouTube - Dr. John Campbell. <https://youtu.be/RtkfPWddQtY?si=jFix4JLetbDMacZD>

complicated. Injecting a rushed, poorly tested vaccine into the entire population was an act of staggering malfeasance. Chesterton's Fence was ignored, Pandora's box was opened, and we will be dealing with the consequences for generations to come.

## CHAPTER 8

# **Moving Elephants - The Tragedy Of Trevor Till**

The five red pills you have read are all true, but facts speak to the rider, not the all-important elephant. In order to really change a person's mind, you need to get the elephant to come to you. A rational rider can steer a calm elephant with logical arguments such as the five red pills. However, nothing works better to change a person's mind than convincing their elephant to come towards you of its own accord. How do we do that? With stories. What you are about to read is the story of Trevor Till. His tragic tale is but one of many that occurred during the tyrannical reign of the #CovidRegime. By retelling it, hopefully, we can all find the courage to fight future tyrannical #CovidRegimes, in whatever form they take.

Trevor Till spent his childhood in Seneca, Illinois, a small town located 75 miles south of Chicago. Trevor was a social and outgoing young man whose life revolved around school. He participated in various activities, serving as class president, an Illinois state scholar, captain of the lacrosse team, pole vaulter, and drum major. He was also a talented trombonist, sang in the choir, and served as the president of the Spanish Club. Moreover, as a member of the drama club, he landed the lead role in the school's production of "*The Music Man*."

Trevor's world, however, like so many others, was about to be turned upside down. The #CovidPanic had started to spread and in late March of 2020, Illinois Governor J.B. Pritzker issued the first stay-at-home order. For students like Trevor, this meant halting all extracurricular activities and transitioning to remote learning via Zoom. These orders were then extended through May, despite the fact that by April of 2020 it was obvious that the virus was not nearly as dangerous as first reported. For high school seniors like Trevor, prom was cancelled and they had to accept a greatly scaled down graduation ceremony. Trevor admitted to his mother, Lisa Moore, how lost he felt. Cut off from everything that made life worth living, he was not alone. The #CovidRegime was tearing apart the lives of its citizens in both big and small ways all over the western world by this point.

Still, for Trevor, there did appear to be a light at the end of the tunnel. As he was an honors student, it was always assumed that he would go to college. Even better was that the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign was promoting a return to normalcy, with in-class learning and regular activities resuming. Chancellor Robert Jones stated this in the following:

*"Fall 2020 will be an on-campus semester with as much in-person instruction and residential occupancy as restrictions of space, health, and safety allow."*

To Trevor Till, this announcement was a potential godsend. The extroverted honors student not only wanted to go; he needed to go. His mother suggested he live at home and commute, but Trevor wanted the university experience that the University, with its student population of 50,000, would potentially provide.

When his mother dropped Trevor off at his dorm on August 24, they both noticed that the promise of an open university did not seem to be borne out in reality. Everyone was wearing masks while students were discouraged from interacting. In classrooms, students were forced to sit 6 feet apart. This made it impossible to make new friends or to establish any human connections at all. What is worse is that Trevor's dorm mate, a foreign exchange student from India, never came. Instead of getting the "University experience," he was all alone, completely isolated on campus.

It is not clear why the reality of the University of Illinois life was so different from what was promised. One thought is that the enormous California State School system was moving in the opposite direction with the promotion of online learning. As we have seen with all of the unscientific rituals taken by the #CovidRegime, #Groupthink is always a factor. Going against the direction of your peers is never easy.

On the Red Pilled America podcast<sup>20</sup>, (which is excellent and highly recommended) another theory advanced is that the University needed students for testing. University of Illinois professors had developed a Covid test that used mouth saliva rather than nose swabs. The promise of this test was that results could be obtained in hours rather than days. In order to get this technology approved, large scale testing needed to be done to prove the concept. The student population at the University of Illinois was perfect for this. Students were typically tested twice a week. Despite this, the University continued its anti-human #CovidRegime policies and never delivered on what was promised.

On October 4, Lisa Moore came to visit her son. She brought him some pajama pants and sweats as the school was still forcing students to eat outside in the increasingly cold weather. Trevor admitted he was having a tough time as he hadn't been able to make any friends and felt isolated. He was trying though, volunteering to be president of his dorm in the hopes of meeting people. Lisa encouraged her son and told him she would see him again towards the end of October. They talked about possibly going to Florida to see his father as well as upcoming Thanksgiving plans. It was the last time Lisa would ever speak with her son.

Lisa would frequently communicate with Trevor via text message. Then, without warning, the text messages stopped. This didn't concern Lisa as it was common for Trevor not to respond to her texts for days. Trevor's father, however, had been trying to call him too and became concerned when he got no response. He went to see him. Arriving at Trevor's dorm, he found him. Trevor had hanged himself two days prior.

---

<sup>20</sup> <https://redpilledamerica.com/>



The resident advisor, who lived 2 doors down from Trevor, had no idea what happened. Normally, resident advisors would hold floor meetings every week to check in with students and see how they were doing. During the reign of the #CovidRegime, this did not happen. Turns out it is impossible to adequately look after and assess the mental state of the students you are responsible for through zoom calls and masks.

The death of Trevor Till was a tragedy, made all the more so because it was so unnecessary. All of the measures that contributed to Trevor Till's suicide, from social distancing to masking, did nothing beyond create the illusion of safety. There is a cost to maintain such illusions, however, and sometimes it was death. The fear based rituals that led to Trevor's death must never be imposed again, and Trevor's story, like so many others, must never be forgotten.



(Trevor Till is pictured here with his mother, Lisa Moore)

# Backward Forward

*"Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world; indeed, it's the only thing that ever has."*

– Margaret Mead

The reign of the #CovidRegime was a dark period in our history. If you're like me, I'm sure that witnessing firsthand how fear can turn everyone around you into mindless hordes was profoundly disturbing. There is nothing that can be done about this as human beings are what they are, fundamentally irrational. The past is done, reality is what it is, but the future has yet to be written. We can all do our part to create a better tomorrow, and this is possible, if we are willing to proclaim the truth.

Xi Jinping's propaganda war was a disaster for the West, but also a gift. His diagnosis of the West was correct. Our institutions were weak, and for too many of us, the commitment to the values that supported them were even weaker. But in exposing this rot in our societies he also gave us a great gift. We now have the opportunity to institute reforms and earn the freedoms and privileges again that too many of us took for granted. We all have a part to play in this, however we can. For my part, I will likely be writing about this topic and creating videos until the day I die. You can help in your own way, however you can, with whatever abilities God granted you. The establishment narrative around the actions of the #CovidRegime were wrong from top to bottom. It was a narrative built on fear and lies that is already crumbling. We will not move on. Work needs to be done. Let's roll.

*"I am only one, but I am one. I cannot do everything, but I can do something. And because I cannot do everything, I will not refuse to do the something that I can do."*

– Edward Everett Hale

# Selected Bibliography

## Articles

Anderson, J. H. (2023, May 9). *The Harm Caused by Masks*. City Journal. <https://www.city-journal.org/article/the-harm-caused-by-masks>

Arora, R. (2023, June 22). *Vaccine Injuries: Why Can't We Be Honest?* YouTube - Trigonometry. <https://youtu.be/zwXsQU-WrUg>

Baker, S. (2020, January 23). *China extended its Wuhan coronavirus quarantine to 2 more cities, cutting off 19 million people in an unprecedented effort to stop the outbreak*. Business Insider. <https://www.businessinsider.com/china-wuhan-coronavirus-quarantine-extended-cities-cut-off-2020-1>

Bass, K., PhD (2023, July 22). *GRAPH - Sweden Got It Right*. Twitter. <https://twitter.com/kevinnbass/status/1682917890629201922?s=20>

Berenson, A. (2021, August 14). *On the cowardice of American scientists*. Substack. <https://alexberenson.substack.com/p/on-the-cowardice-of-american-scientists?>

Burke, M. (2021, January 29). *Illinois mother sues governor after son struggling with pandemic dies by suicide*. NBC News. <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/illinois->

mother-sues-governor-after-son-struggling-pandemic-dies-suicide-n1256190

Campbell, J., Dr. (2023, July 16). *Excess deaths, the silence*. YouTube - Dr. John Campbell. <https://youtu.be/RtkfPWddQtY?si=jFix4JLetbDMaczD>

Chunying, H. (2020, April 4). *CCP uses 7 year old girl to push China's anti-science Covid message*. Twitter. <https://twitter.com/SpokespersonCHN/status/1246665952139198464?s=20>

Curl, J. (2022, May 9). Bill Gates: COVID 'Disease Of Elderly,' 'Low Fatality Rate' – 'Kind Of Like The Flu'. Daily Wire. <https://www.dailywire.com/news/bill-gates-covid-disease-of-elderly-low-fatality-rate-kind-of-like-the-flu?>

Demasi, M., Dr. (2023, June 30). *Sorry but Serious Harms from the Vaccine are Not Rare*. Brownstone Institute. <https://brownstone.org/articles/serious-harms-from-vaccine-are-not-rare/>

Dore, J. (2023, January 31). *Bill Gates Is Sh\*tting On COVID Vaxx After Cashing In Stock!* YouTube - The Jimmy Dore Show. <https://youtu.be/pAcF4kUefb4?si=19TQY4keW0PcJkLo>

Elbaum, J. (2023, June 20). *New emails show COVID vaccine mandates were based on a lie*. The Washington Examiner. <https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/opinion/new-emails-show-covid-vaccine-mandates-were-based-on-a-lie>

Illinois, N. B. (2020, July 14). *Campus to open for fall instruction with COVID-19 safety precautions*. NBC News. <https://las.illinois.edu/news/2020-07-14/campus-open-fall-instruction-covid-19-safety-precautions>

Ionnidis, J. P. (2020, March 17). *A fiasco in the making? As the coronavirus pandemic takes hold, we are making decisions without reliable data*. Statnews.

<https://www.statnews.com/2020/03/17/a-fiasco-in-the-making-as-the-coronavirus-pandemic-takes-hold-we-are-making-decisions-without-reliable-data/>

Kulldorff, M. (2023, July 3). *Are the Covid mRNA Vaccines Safe?* Brownstone. <https://brownstone.org/articles/are-the-covid-mrna-vaccines-safe/>

Nevradakis, M., Ph.D. (2023, January 27). *Bill Gates — After Reaping Huge Profits Selling BioNTech Shares — Trashes Effectiveness of COVID Vaccines.* Childrens Health Defense. <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/bill-gates-profits-biontech-effectiveness-covid-vaccines/>

Noel, K. (2021, October 27). *Dr. Fauci answers questions about children and COVID-19 vaccines.* NPR. <https://www.npr.org/2021/10/27/1049546323/dr-fauci-answers-questions-about-children-and-covid-19-vaccines?>

North, T. (2022, October 11). *The Speed Of Science.* X. <https://x.com/TrueNorthCentre/status/1579830040858329089?s=20>

Oosterhuis, P. (2022, September 10). *MRNA vaccines are neither safe nor effective.* Twitter. <https://twitter.com/dragonfishy/status/1573269168786649089?s=20>

Rubin, D. (2023, February 12). *Why Did We Hide & Ignore This Vaccine Data? | Dr. Robert Malone | POLITICS | Rubin Report.* YouTube. <https://youtu.be/52ML4SNr3gE>

Seekers, P. T., MD (2019, October 29). *Unearthed Video from October 29, 2019 Reveals Anthony Fauci Contradictions on mRNA Vaccines.* Rumble. <https://rumble.com/v1hm8p9-unearthed-video-from-october-29-2019-reveals-anthony-fauci-contradictions-o.html>

Senger, M. (2022, July 20). *The Talented Mr. Pottinger: The US Intelligence Agent Who Pushed Lockdowns.* Brownstone.org. <https://brownstone.org/articles/matt-pottinger-the-us-intelligence-agent-who-pushed-lockdowns/>

Woods, T. (2023, September 17). *COVID Charts Quiz*. CovidChartsQuiz.  
<https://www.covidchartsquiz.com/>

Miller, I. (2022, October 23). *A Closer Look at the Covid Mortality Rate*. Brownstone Institute. <https://brownstone.org/articles/how-dangerous-was-covid-anyway/>

Andrew, L. T. (202, December 8). *Table 3 Age-specific fatality rates for COVID-19 infections vs. Accidental deaths (%)*. European Journal of Epidemiology.  
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10654-020-00698-1/tables/3>



# Books

Berenson, A. (2021). *Pandemia: How Coronavirus Hysteria Took Over Our Government, Rights, and Lives*. Regency Publishing.

Haidt, J. (2013). *The Righteous Mind: Why Good People Are Divided by Politics and Religion*. Vintage.

Miller, I. (2023). *Illusion of Control: COVID-19 and the Collapse of Expertise* (p. 71). Post Hill Press.

Senger, M. P. (2021). *Snake Oil: How Xi Jinping Shut Down the World*. Plenary Press.